

# Treatment Of Hereditary Angioedema: Safety, Efficacy, And Patient Preference After Switching To Donidalorsen (OASISplus Study) Marc A. Riedl<sup>1</sup>, Laura Bordone<sup>2</sup>, Raffi Tachdjian<sup>3</sup>, Kenneth B. Newman<sup>2</sup>, Sabrina Treadwell<sup>2</sup>, Tao Lin<sup>2</sup>, Aaron Yarlas<sup>2</sup>, Danny M. Cohn<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Allergy and Immunology, University of California Los Angeles, CA, USA; <sup>3</sup>Division of Allergy, Immunology, University of California San Diego School of Medicine, Amsterdam Cardiovascular Sciences, Amsterdam University Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

## INTRODUCTION

- Hereditary angioedema (HAE) is a rare, chronic disease characterized by frequently severe and potentially fatal atta tissue swelling<sup>1-</sup>
- HAE is most frequently caused by either C1 inhibitor (C1-INH) deficiency (HAE-C1INH-Type1) or dysfunction (HAEwhich leads to kallikrein-kinin system dysregulation<sup>1-3</sup>
- Donidalorsen is an investigational RNA-targeted antisense oligonucleotide that specifically reduces plasma prekallik in the liver<sup>4</sup>
- The phase 3 OASIS-HAE study (NCT05139810) demonstrated the efficacy of donidalorsen 80 mg administered sub (SC) once every 4 weeks (Q4W) or every 8 weeks (Q8W) in patients with HAE<sup>4</sup>
- Here, we report interim results of patients with HAE who switched from a prior long-term prophylactic (LTP) treatmer donidalorsen (**Switch cohort**) in the ongoing OASISplus open-label extension study (NCT05392114)

# METHODS

#### Figure 1. Study Design



Q4W, once every 4 weeks

- Patients ≥12 years of age with HAE-C1INH-Type1 or HAE-C1INH-Type2 and on stable doses of lanadelumab, bero C1-INH for ≥12 weeks switched, using a predefined algorithm without washout, to donidalorsen 80 mg Q4W:
- Lanadelumab: The last dose of lanadelumab was administered 14 ± 3 days prior to first dose of donidalorsen
- Berotralstat: Patients continued taking berotralstat for 14 ± 3 days after the first dose of donidalorsen
- C1-INH: Patients continued taking C1-INH for 14 ± 3 days after the first dose of donidalorsen
- Primary endpoint: Incidence of treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs)
- Other endpoints:
- Time-normalized rate of investigator-confirmed HAE attacks per month (HAE attack rate) over Weeks 0–52
- Angioedema Quality of Life (AE-QoL) questionnaire total score at Week 16
- Well-controlled disease, defined as an Angioedema Control Test (AECT) total score ≥10, at Week 16<sup>5</sup> - Treatment preference survey at Week 16
- The de novo, ad hoc treatment preference survey was scored on a 5-point Likert-type preference scale, ranging preference" for donidalorsen to "strong preference" for the prior LTP
- Treatment Satisfaction Questionnaire for Medication II (TSQM-II) at Week 16
- Interim results are reported from a February 2024 data cut

### RESULTS

#### Table 1. Patient Demographics and Disposition

	Patients sw	Patients switching to donidalorsen 80 mg Q4W from:				
	Lanadelumab (n = 31)	Berotralstat (n = 11)	C1-INH (n = 22)	Total (N = 64)		
Age, years, mean (SD)	40 (14)	46 (11)	41 (17)	42 (15)		
Age, years, n (%) 12–17 years old ≥18 years old	1 (3) 30 (97)	0 11 (100)	3 (14) 19 (86)	4 (6) 60 (94)		
Sex, n (%) Male Female	17 (55) 14 (45)	3 (27) 8 (73)	6 (27) 16 (73)	26 (41)		
Race, <sup>a</sup> n (%) White Multiple or other <sup>b</sup>	26 (84) 5 (16)	11 (100)	20 (91)	57 (89) 7 (11)		
Patients enrolled. n	32	11	22	65		
Patients dosed, n	31	11	22	64		
Completed Week 16 of treatment, n (%)	28 (88)	10 (91)°	20 (91)	58 (89)		
Early termination, n (%)						
Lack of efficacy	3 (10)	0	1 (5)	4 (6)		
Serious adverse event	1 (3)	0	0	1 (2)		
Lost to follow-up	1 (3)	0	0	1 (2)		
Voluntary withdrawal	0	0	1 (5)	1 (2)		
Other (not dosed)	1 (3)	0	0	1 (2)		

One patient in the berotralstat group has not reached Week 16 of treatment but is ongoing in the study. C1-INH, C1 inhibitor; Q4W, once every 4 weeks; SD, standard deviation.

As of February 2024, 56 of 64 dosed patients (88%) were ongoing in the study

Mean donidalorsen exposure was 263 days

	Table 2. Incidence and Severity of TEAEs	
of		Doni
I-Type2),	Any TEAE,ª n (%)	
roduction	Related to study drug	
	Leading to discontinuation	
ously	Any serious TEAE, n (%)	
	Related to study drug	
	Severity of TEAEs, n (%)	
	Mild	
	Moderate	
	Severe	
	Severity of TEAEs related to study drug, n (%)	
	Mild	
	Moderate	
nent	Severe	
period	Most common TEAEsª (≥10% of all patients), n (%)	
r	Upper respiratory tract infection	
1	Nasopharyngitis	
	Injection-site erythema	
	Injection-site pruritus	
	Headache	
	<sup>a</sup> TEAE is defined as any adverse event starting or worsening on or after the first dose of donidalorsen.	
	<sup>b</sup> The TEAE was a headache, and no action was taken with the study drug	
	Q4W, once every 4 weeks; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event	
	One TEAE that was not related to study drug led to treatment discontinuation	
ona	No serious TEAEs were related to donidalorsen, and most TEAEs were at most mild or mode	erate
	Figure 2. Time-Normalized Number of HAE Attacks Per Month (We	eks
	0	



Baseline HAE attack rate during the screening period for the Switch cohort

C1-INH, C1 inhibitor; HAE, hereditary angioedema; SD, standard deviation.

Patients with HAE who switched from prior LTPs to donidalorsen Q4W had a mean 62% reduction from baseline in HAE attack rate



#### e in severity

0–16)



AECT, Angioedema Control Test; AE-QoL, Angioedema Quality of Life Questionnaire; C1-INH, C1 inhibitor; Q4W, once every 4 weeks; SEM, standard error of the mean. Regardless of their prior LTP treatment, on average, patients who switched to donidalorsen reported clinically significant improvements (≥6-point reduction<sup>6</sup>) in AE-QoL total score from baseline to Week 16 More patients self-reported disease control after switching to donidalorsen



#### Figure 4. Patient-Reported Treatment Preference at Week 16

C1-INH, C1 inhibitor.

Most patients preferred treatment with donidalorsen, regardless of their previous treatment - Overall, 84% of patients preferred donidalorsen, with 66% expressing a strong preference

- Patients most frequently selected the following reasons for their treatment preference:
- Lanadelumab: Less injection-site pain or reactions with donidalorsen
- : Better disease control with donidalorsen
- **C1-INH:** Less time for administration with donidalorsen



<sup>a</sup>Baseline assessment during the screening period for the switch cohort.

Patients reported greater effectiveness, convenience, and satisfaction scores on the TSQM-II at Week 16 of donidalorsen treatment compared with their pre-switch baseline assessment

C1-INH, C1 inhibitor; Q4W, once every 4 weeks; SEM, standard error of the mean; TSQM-II, Treatment Satisfaction Questionnaire for Medication II.



The authors thank the study participants and their families and the OASISplus Switch team of investigators, research coordinators, and study staff. Medical writing and editorial assistance were provided by Ryan Coleman, PhD, of Red Nucleus, and funded by Ionis Pharmaceuticals, Inc. The OASISplus study was funded by Ionis Pharmaceuticals. Inc.

# DISCLOSURES

**MAR** has received research grants from BioCryst, CSL Behring, Ionis, KalVista, and Pharvaris; consulted for BioCryst, BioMarin Pharmaceutical, CSL Behring, Cycle Pharma, Fresenius Kabi, Ionis, KalVista, Pfizer, Pharming, Pharvaris, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, RegenexBio, Shire/Takeda, and Spark; and provided speaker presentations for CSL Behring, Grifols, Pharming, and Shire. RT has received grants or research support from Astria, BioCryst, CSL Behring, Ionis, KalVista, Pharvaris, and Takeda; is a speaker for AstraZeneca, BioCryst, CSL Behring, GSK, Pharming, Sanofi-Regeneron, and Takeda; and has served as a consultant for BioCryst, CSL Behring KalVista, Pharming, and Takeda. LB, KBN, ST, TL, and AY are employees of Ionis and hold shares and/or options of Ionis. DMC has received speaking fees from CSL Behring, Ionis, Pharvaris, and Takeda; consultancy fees from Astria, BioCryst, CSL Behring, Intellia, Ionis, KalVista, Pharvaris, and Takeda; and research support from Ionis, KalVista, Pharvaris, and Takeda,

## REFERENCES

- 1. Riedl MA, et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2023;11(8):2450-56.e6.
- 2. Raasch J, et al. World Allergy Organ J. 2023;16(6):100792
- **3.** Sinnathamby ES, et al. *Adv Ther.* 2023;40(3):814-27.
- 4. Riedl MA, et al. N Engl J Med. 2024;391(1):21-31.
- **5.** Weller K, et al. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract.* 2020;8(6):2050-57.e4.
- 6. Weller K, et al. *Allergy.* 2016;71(8):1203-9.

65%